REMARKS

The drawings have been objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failure to depict the secondary crusher and the vertical elevator. A replacement sheet including Figure 6 is presented.

The secondary crusher and vertical elevator have been depicted in Figure 6. Those elements have been given new reference numbers. Those elements are standard in the art and hence the structural details thereof are not disclosed in detail in the specification.

The specification has been objected to because it does not contain the necessary headings. The specification has been rewritten to include the required headings, to insert new reference numbers for the secondary crusher and the vertical elevator. Finally, some formal deficiencies in the specification have been eliminated.

A substitute specification with the above changes is presented, in both marked-up and clean versions.

The claims have been objected to because of improper form, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement and for being indefinite.

In order to overcome these objections, an amended set of claims is provided.

Claim 2 and claim 4 have been deleted. The final sentence of claim 9 has been amended to correspond with the amendment made in the page 20, line 25 of the specification.

Robert L. Epstein, Reg. No. 26451

ully submitted

EPSTEIN DRANGEL

BAZERMAN & JAMES, LLP

Attorneys for Applicant

60 East 42nd Street, Suite 820

New York, New York 10165

Tel. No.: (212) 292-5390 Fax. No.: (212) 292-5391